

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,421		01/27/2004	Masatake Kudoh	14879-090002	4485		
26161	7590	09/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RI		SON PC	PAK, Y	PAK, YONG D			
P.O. BOX 1 MINNEAPO		I 55440-1022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				1652	•		
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/766,421	KUDOH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Yong D. Pak	1652

	Tong D. Tak	1002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af- tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	nter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ig date of the final reject	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 June 2006</u>. A brief is date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		-	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse of the c		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-16, 18, 21 and 25-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after 6	entry is below or attac	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Application/Control Number: 10/766,421

Art Unit: 1652

ADVISORY ACTION

Claims 15-16, 18, 21 and 25-38 are pending. Claims 15-16, 18, 21 and 25-38 are under consideration.

Response to Arguments

The amendment filed on August 3, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be **ENTERED** because: the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search and present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons:

The amendment adds a new limitation to the polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide used in the method of claims 15-16, 18, 21, 25-30, wherein said polynucleotide hybridizes under the stringent condition comprising washing in 0.5 x SSC at 42°C, which was never presented in any previously filed claims. The amendment also adds a new limitation to the (R)-2-octanol dehydrogenase used in the method of claim 28, wherein said dehydrogenase is at least 75% pure and treated with an organic solvent, which was never presented in any previously filed claims. The amendment also adds new claims, whose subject matter was never presently in any previously filed claims; (A) variant of SEQ ID NO:2 with up to 30 conservative amino acid substitutions (claim 39) and (B) method of using a *Pichia*, *Candida or Ogatae* (R)-2-octanol dehydrogenase (claims 40-47). Therefore, the newly amended claim warrants further

Application/Control Number: 10/766,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

consideration on these newly incorporated limitations, necessitating a new search in the prior art and/or a new rejection and a Restriction Requirement on a method of using patentably distinct (R)-2-octanol dehydrogenases having different structure and substrate specificity.

In view of the non-entry of the above amendment filed on August 3, 2006, all previous rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

None of the claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Yong D. Pak Patent Examiner 1652 Tekchand Saidha

Primary Patent Examiner 1652